

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Where description is indicated, attach additional pages of notes and photographs keyed to appropriate checklist item.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Street Address of the School: Carr. 2, Km. 84, Hm. 5, Bo. Carrazales
- City: Hatillo State: Puerto Rico Zip: 00659
2. School Name: Eugenio María de Hostos
3. Date of inspection: January 13, 2020
4. Inspector's Name: Edgardo Hernández-Alvarado

B. BUILDING SITE INSPECTION

5. Utility Service Safety:

IMPORTANT—Immediately following an earthquake, check the entire property, especially near appliances, for the smell of gas. If gas odor is detected, turn off the gas at the meter where it enters the building. Locate and repair leaks before turning gas back on. If the gas odor persists after the gas has been shut off, vacate the building and contact the gas utility company immediately.

IMPORTANT—Before entering a damaged, vacant building verify that gas is off. Check the gas meter for damage and position of main gas valve, either a manual valve or a seismically-activated gas shut-off valve. Do not enter the building if gas odor is detected.

a. Odor of gas leakage? YES NO b. Downed powerlines? YES NO

6. Surrounding topography: (check one)

- Flat
 Gently sloping (easily walkable)
 Steeply sloping (difficult or impossible to walk in some areas)

7. Building pad: (check one)

- Flat
 Terraced or multilevel
 Gently sloping (less than 4-foot ground surface elevation difference across building)
 Steeply sloping (greater than 4-foot ground surface elevation difference across building)

8. Geotechnical Issues: (if yes, provide description and photos)

- | | YES | NO |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a. New cracks in the ground? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Signs of fresh cracking in or movement of hardscape? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Signs of fresh cracking in or movement of retaining walls? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d. Patterns of cracking that extend through the ground surface, hardscape, and improvements? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| e. Evidence of sand boils or other fresh-appearing deposits of sand or mud? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| f. Unusual slumping, rising, or bulging of the ground surface? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| g. Evidence of rock falls or slope instability above site? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| h. Ground movement or wet areas indicating possible broken underground utility lines? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| i. Other phenomena (e.g., septic tanks surfacing, differential settlement, ground consolidation)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Where description is indicated, attach additional pages of notes and photographs keyed to appropriate checklist item.

B. BUILDING SITE INSPECTION (continued)

YES NO

9. Evidence of earthquake-induced permanent ground deformation in the immediate vicinity of the property?

C. GENERAL BUILDING INFORMATION

10. Safety Assessment Tag: (check one) None Green Yellow Red
 (others): Yellow Red

11. a) Year of original construction (best estimate): Before 1960

b) Total square footage (best estimate): 26,000 ft²

YES NO

12. Have any repairs, modifications, or demolition been performed since the earthquake?

If yes, describe _____

13. Building configuration:

- a. Single story
- b. Combination one and two story
- c. Full two story
- d. Three story
- e. Split level
- f. Typical
- g. Other, describe _____

16. Sill bolting:

- a. Structure bolted to foundation
- b. Structure not bolted to foundation
- c. Don't know

14. Exterior wall finish:

- a. Stucco
- b. Panel siding
- c. Metal siding
- d. Masonry veneer
- e. Other, describe Cement Plaster

17. Roof configuration:

- a. Gable (Dos Aguas)
- b. Hip (Cuatro Aguas)
- c. Flat or very low slope
- d. Shed (Un Agua)
- e. Other, describe _____

15. Foundation configuration:

- a. Slab-on-grade
- b. Crawlspace without cripple walls
- c. Crawlspace with cripple walls
- d. Exposed piers or posts
- e. Typical
- f. Metal
- g. Other, describe _____

18. Roof covering:

- a. Asphaltic membrane
- b. Wood shingle or shake
- c. Concrete
- d. Metal
- e. Elastomeric
- f. Other, describe _____

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Where description is indicated, attach additional pages of notes and photographs keyed to appropriate checklist item.

D. EXTERIOR BUILDING INSPECTION

19. General: (if yes, provide description and photos)

- a. Collapse, partial collapse, or building off foundation?
- b. Obvious lean in any story?

YES	NO	N/A
-----	----	-----

Dl. Exterior walls: (if yes, provide description and photos)

- a. Fresh cracking at corners of door and window openings?
- b. Fresh cracking at building corners?
- c. Door or window openings racked out of square?
- d. Broken glass in windows or doors?
- e. Wall leaning?
- f. Bulging or delamination of stucco?
- g. Pattern of cracking that extends from the ground surface, through foundation, and wall?
- h. Evidence of recent relative movement at mudsill line?
- i. At locations where the exterior stucco is continuous from the framing down over the foundation, is there cracking of stucco along the mudsill level accompanied by indications of permanent displacement (sliding) of the building relative to the foundation?
- j. Collapse, partial collapse, or separation of masonry veneer?
- k. Severe cracking, separations, or offsets at building irregularities?

DII. Foundation: (if yes, provide description and photos)

- a. Fresh cracking of exposed perimeter foundation?
- b. Relative movement between slab and footing in "two-pour" slab-on-grade foundations?
- c. Ask School Director (or Rep.) if any earthquake retrofits have been done to the building?

If Y describe: _____

- d. If the answer to c is Y, were bolts added to connect the building to the foundation?
- e. If the answer to c is Y, were plywood or sheathing added to any cripple walls under the building?

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Where description is indicated, attach additional pages of notes and photographs keyed to appropriate checklist item.

D. EXTERIOR BUILDING INSPECTION (continued)

	YES	NO	N/A
22. Kitchen Hood (if yes, provide description and photos)			
a. Present on external wall?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Present at internal location?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Collapse or partial collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Visible damage or cracking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Visible tilting or separation from building?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Shifted or loose and displaced	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. Deterioration or deformation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
23. Roof: (if yes, provide description and photos)			
a. Shifted or dislodged or concrete damage?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Impact damage to roof from falling object?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Displaced rooftop HVAC units?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Significantly sagging roof ridgelines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Signs of movement between rafter tails and wall finishes at eaves?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Buckled/dislodged flashing or tearing of roof membrane, roof/wall intersections in split level buildings, additions, or other building irregularities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. Tearing of roof membrane or deck waterproofing at re-entrant corners?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Toppling, shifting, or damage/leakage at refrigerant and electrical lines of rooftop mechanical equipment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i. Shifting of or damage to solar panels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Where description is indicated, attach additional pages of notes and photographs keyed to appropriate checklist item.

D. EXTERIOR BUILDING INSPECTION (continued)

		YES	NO	N/A
24.	Attached or abutting improvements: (if yes, provide description and photos)			
a.	Collapse, partial collapse, or separation of attached porches, carports, Gazebos, or awnings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b.	Evidence of recent settlement or displacement of exterior steps, patios, or walkways relative to the building?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c.	Signs of movement between building floor and/ or exterior hardscape or retaining wall along the uphill side of hon steeply sloping sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d.	Toppling, shifting, or damage/leakage at refrigerant and electrical lines of air conditioning condenser unit(s)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
25.	Independent exterior improvements: (if yes, provide description and photos)			
a.	Damaged detached gazebo?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b.	Damage to fences / privacy walls?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c.	Damage to retaining walls?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d.	Damage to walkway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e.	Evidence of leakage from water supply lines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f.	Toppling, shifting, or damage/leakage at fuel connection of propane tanks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g.	Others damage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

E. INTERIOR INSPECTION

26. General information

a. If interior access not possible, identify reason

- i. Red tag
- ii. Hazardous materials
- iii. Other hazardous condition, describe _____
- iv. Other, describe _____

b. Typical wall and ceiling finish

- i. Drywall
- ii. Plaster on gypsum lath
- iii. Plaster on wood lath
- iv. Other, describe Cement Plaster

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Where description is indicated, attach additional pages of notes and photographs keyed to appropriate checklist item.

E. INTERIOR INSPECTION (continued)

		YES	NO	N/A
27. Walls: (if yes, provide description and photos)				
a. Fresh cracking, buckling, spalling, or detachment of interior wall finish at corners of door and window openings?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Fresh cracking of wall finishes at wall corners or wall/ceiling intersections?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Door or window openings racked out of square?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Wall leaning?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Pattern of cracking that extends from the floor slab through the wall?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Movement or sliding of walls relative to the floor?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. Severe cracking, separations, or offsets at building irregularities?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Doors damaged, difficult to operate, or inoperable?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i. Windows damaged, difficult to operate, or inoperable?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
28. Ceilings: (if yes, provide description and photos)				
a. Collapse of ceiling finish?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Fresh cracking of ceiling finishes, especially at re-entrant corners; cracks along corner bead at stairwell openings; cracking or tearing of finishes at ceiling/wall juncture; or multiple "nail pops"?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Damage to ceiling finishes in vicinity of corridors or commons places?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Separations or cracks in ceiling finishes at split-levels, re-entrant corners, additions, appendages, or other building discontinuities?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Water damage or evidence of recent leakage from plumbing lines or roofing?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Where description is indicated, attach additional pages of notes and photographs keyed to appropriate checklist item.

E. INTERIOR INSPECTION (continued)

		YES	NO	N/A
29. Floors: (if yes, provide description and photos)	a. Evidence of recent sloping, sagging, settlement or displacement of floors?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. In slab-on-grade locations, fresh cracking of floor slab or floor finishes?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c. Significant sagging or unusual bounciness of floors frames?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d. Separations or cracks in floor finishes at split-levels, re-entrant corners, additions, appendages, or other building discontinuities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e. Signs of movement between floor and exterior hardscape or retaining wall along the uphill side of homes on steeply sloping sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	f. A pattern of fresh cracks, gaps, or joint separations in floor finishes?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	g. Impact damage to floor finishes from falling contents?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
30. Mechanical systems: (if yes, provide description and photos)	a. Displaced connection of appliance flues connected to chimneys?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Toppling, shifting, leakage from tank, leakage from water connections displaced flue connection or damage/leakage at gas line or electrical connection of water heater?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c. Shifting, damage/leakage at gas line, flue connection, electrical connection, refrigerant line, and condensate drain connection of furnace or air conditioning fan-coil unit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d. Damage to gas line of gas stoves or gas fueled clothes dryers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e. Damage to toilets?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	f. Decreased or restricted water pressure at appliances, faucets, or toilets?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	g. Toppling or shifting of free-standing wood stove and/or flue?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	h. Toppling, shifting, damage/leakage at fuel connection of fuel oil tank?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	i. Other Damage in the dining room	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	j. Damage near the gas tank	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Where description is indicated, attach additional pages of notes and photographs keyed to appropriate checklist item.

E. INTERIOR INSPECTION (continued)

- | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 31. Architectural woodwork and special finishes: (if yes, provide description and photos) | YES | NO | N/A |
| a. Shifting of or damage to kitchen or bathroom cabinetry? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Impact damage to countertops from falling objects? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Cracking of ceramic tile in showers or tub/shower enclosures consistent with
earthquake damage to adjacent wall finishes? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

F. CONTINGENT INSPECTIONS

- | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | YES | NO | N/A |
| 32. Retaining Tank Wall damage? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 33. Water tank or other field subterranean structure | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

G. RECOMENDACIÓN AL SECRETARIO

Departamento de Educación
Dr. Eligio Hernández Pérez
Secretario de Educación

Hora: 12:30 PM

Código: 11403

Escuela: Eugenio María de Hostos

Fecha de Inspección: 13 de enero de 2020

Municipio: Hatillo

- Abrir Escuela (Verde)
- Abrir Parcialmente la Escuela (Amarillo)
- No Abrir la Escuela (Rojo)

Comentarios:

A tenor con los resultados de la inspección ocular realizada por este servidor utilizando mi mejor apreciación concluyo que las estructuras que forman parte de la Escuela Eugenio María de Hostos no aparentan haber sufrido daños en sus elementos estructurales a causa de los eventos sísmicos ocurridos en el periodo entre el pasado 28 de diciembre de 2019 y la fecha de mi inspección.

Cabe señalar que la estructura presentaba grietas menores, empañetados sueltos preexistentes a los eventos recientes que deben atenderse como parte del mantenimiento ordinario de la facilidad. Hacer referencia a las recomendaciones incluidas en el Anejo A.

Las observaciones de daños incluidos en este reporte se refieren a daños relacionados única y exclusivamente con los eventos dentro del periodo del 28 de diciembre de 2019 al día de la visita de inspección. Daños identificados como preexistentes no están incluidos en el listado que forma parte del reporte.

(Ver Anejo A para más detalle)

Se debe entender que este informe está basado solamente en una inspección ocular de las facilidades con el propósito de observar en las escuelas la presencia de daños significativos causados por los eventos sísmicos registrados hasta la fecha de este informe. La determinación de la adecuación estructural de las escuelas y su cumplimiento con los códigos aplicables de diseño o construcción, al igual que el desarrollo de recomendaciones para la rehabilitación de las facilidades, requerirá una evaluación detallada.

Edgardo Hernández-Alvarado
Nombre (Letra de Molde)

Firma

13930
Licencia



Fig. 1

histological sections of the brain.

the first 24 hours after birth. At this time the brain was found to contain a large number of small, dark, irregular structures which were identified as glial cells. These glial cells were scattered throughout the white matter and were also found to be associated with blood vessels.

The next day the same brain was examined again. The glial cells had disappeared and the blood vessels had become more prominent. The brain was now described as having a normal histological appearance.

At 24 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had reappeared and were once again scattered throughout the white matter and associated with blood vessels.

At 48 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had disappeared and the blood vessels had become more prominent. The brain was now described as having a normal histological appearance.

At 72 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had reappeared and were once again scattered throughout the white matter and associated with blood vessels. The blood vessels were also more prominent than at 48 hours after birth.

At 96 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had disappeared and the blood vessels had become more prominent. The brain was now described as having a normal histological appearance.

At 120 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had reappeared and were once again scattered throughout the white matter and associated with blood vessels. The blood vessels were also more prominent than at 96 hours after birth.

At 144 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had disappeared and the blood vessels had become more prominent. The brain was now described as having a normal histological appearance.

At 168 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had reappeared and were once again scattered throughout the white matter and associated with blood vessels. The blood vessels were also more prominent than at 144 hours after birth.

At 192 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had disappeared and the blood vessels had become more prominent. The brain was now described as having a normal histological appearance.

At 216 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had reappeared and were once again scattered throughout the white matter and associated with blood vessels. The blood vessels were also more prominent than at 192 hours after birth.

At 240 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had disappeared and the blood vessels had become more prominent. The brain was now described as having a normal histological appearance.

At 264 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had reappeared and were once again scattered throughout the white matter and associated with blood vessels. The blood vessels were also more prominent than at 240 hours after birth.

At 288 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had disappeared and the blood vessels had become more prominent. The brain was now described as having a normal histological appearance.

At 312 hours after birth the brain was examined again. The glial cells had reappeared and were once again scattered throughout the white matter and associated with blood vessels. The blood vessels were also more prominent than at 288 hours after birth.

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

ANEJO A

Nombre de la Escuela: Eugenio María de Hostos (Hatillo) Fecha de Inspección: 13 de enero de 2020
Código de la Escuela: 11403 Nombre de Inspector: Edgardo Hernández-Alvarado

Comentarios:

RESERVAS DEL ALCANCE

1. El propósito de la inspección ocular se circunscribe en identificar la presencia de señales que sugieran la evidencia de daños recientes ocasionados por los temblores registrados desde el 28 de diciembre de 2019 hasta el momento de la inspección.
2. Los elementos inspeccionados son aquellos estrictamente relacionados con la capacidad de una estructura para asimilar movimientos sísmicos ocasionados por temblores. Son los elementos que pueden ser observados a simple vista sin la necesidad de equipos especializados.
3. El alcance de la inspección no incluye verificación ni validación del diseño ni pretende analizar o pasar juicio sobre los procesos de construcción ni los materiales utilizados.
4. Se presume que las estructuras dentro de cada plantel fueron construidas siguiendo diseños preparados por profesionales capacitados y que fueron debidamente inspeccionadas durante el proceso de construcción.
5. Las recomendaciones y hallazgos incluidos en este reporte son vigentes a la fecha y hora de la inspección realizada. Movimientos telúricos, sismos y réplicas adicionales pueden generar daños nuevos que deben ser inspeccionados y pueden afectar los resultados y recomendaciones vertidas en este reporte.
6. Las observaciones de daños incluidos en el reporte identificado como "Ocular Inspection Checklist" se refieren a daños relacionados única y exclusivamente con los eventos dentro del periodo del 28 de diciembre de 2019 al día de la visita de inspección. Daños identificados como preexistentes no están incluidos en el listado que forma parte del referido reporte.


COMENTARIOS GENERALES

1. Grietas Preexistentes – En la estructura se identificaron grietas preexistentes al periodo del 28 de diciembre de 2019 al momento de la inspección y las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los temblores registrados en el referido periodo y sus réplicas.
2. Grietas en juntas – En la estructura se identificaron grietas preexistentes al periodo del 28 de diciembre de 2019 al momento de la inspección consonas con las previsibles en juntas estructurales. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los temblores registrados en el referido periodo y sus réplicas.
3. Empañetado suelto – La escuela presenta varias incidencias de empañetado suelto que presenta el riesgo de poder caer sobre alguna persona. Dicha condición debe atenderse previo a la apertura del plantel. En la eventualidad que no se pueda reparar de inmediato se debe forzar el desprendimiento del empañetado suelto para ser reparado en el futuro. En aquellas incidencias donde se expone el acero de refuerzo el mismo debe protegerse con pintura anticorrosiva en espera de una reparación permanente siguiendo las especificaciones de un diseño preparado por un profesional de la ingeniería o arquitectura.
4. Infraestructura eléctrica – Durante el recorrido se observaron varias incidencias no documentadas de receptáculos, interruptores, cajas eléctricas de empalme, cajas de circuitos, conductos y demás infraestructura eléctrica sin las debidas coberturas, deterioradas y/o en mal estado. Aunque estas condiciones están fuera del alcance de la inspección realizada recomendamos enérgicamente que OMEP encomiende una inspección de cumplimiento con los códigos de fuego y los códigos eléctricos vigentes y se corrijan estas deficiencias.

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

ANEJO A (cont.)

Plano de Sitio	Eugenio María de Hostos (Hatillo)
Descripción:	Predio rural con aproximadamente 6,200 m ² . Once (11) estructuras independientes con aproximadamente 21,500 pies cuadrados de área bruta de piso.




OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

ANEJO A (cont.)

Edificio:	1 – Salón Kinder (Castillo)	
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes y problemas de filtración. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.	
Edificio:	1 – Salón Kinder (Castillo)	
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes y problemas de filtración. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.	
Edificio:	2 – Salones	
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.	
Edificio:	2 – Salones	
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas y empaquetado suelto preexistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.	
Edificio:	3 – Oficinas	
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.	

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

ANEJO A (cont.)

Edificio:	4 – Salones (Biblioteca y Gazebo en Metal)	
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes.	
Edificio:	4 – Salones (Biblioteca y Gazebo en Metal)	
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.	
Edificio:	5 – Salones	
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.	
Edificio:	5 – Salones	
Descripción:	Se observa la instalación de un tanque cisterna sobre el techo de la estructura. OMEP debe recomendar se realice un análisis estructural para corroborar la capacidad del referido techo para soportar la carga que representan los galones de agua almacenados en dicha cisterna.	
Edificio:	5 – Salones	
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.	

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

ANEJO A (cont.)

Edificio:	6 – Salón Comedor		
Descripción:	Se identificaron grietas preeexistentes que aparentan haberse agravado durante los temblores registrados en el referido periodo y sus réplicas. Estas sin embargo no comprometen la capacidad de la estructura y no representan riesgo. Deben atenderse dentro del mantenimiento de las estructuras.		
Edificio:	6 – Salón Comedor		
Descripción:	Se identificaron grietas preeistentes que aparentan haberse agravado durante los temblores registrados en el referido periodo y sus réplicas. Estas sin embargo no comprometen la capacidad de la estructura y no representan riesgo. Deben atenderse dentro del mantenimiento de las estructuras.		
Edificio:	7 – Baños		
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preeistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.		
Edificio:	7 – Baños		
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preeistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados. Se observa la instalación de un tanque cisterna sobre el techo de la estructura. OMEP debe recomendar se realice un análisis estructural para corroborar la capacidad del referido techo para soportar la carga que representan los galones de agua almacenados en dicha cisterna.		
Edificio:	8 – Salones		
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preeistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.		

OCULAR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

ANEJO A (cont.)

Edificio:	9 – Salones		
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.		
Edificio:	10 – Salones		
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.		
Edificio:	10 – Salones		
Descripción:	Se observaron daños preexistentes por condición de erosión.		
Edificio:	11 – Salones		
Descripción:	No se observaron daños relacionados con los eventos recientes. Se identificaron grietas preexistentes. Las mismas no presentan evidencia de haberse agravado durante los recientes temblores registrados.		



COLEGIO DE INGENIEROS Y AGRIMENSORES
DE PUERTO RICO

PO Box 363845 * San Juan, Puerto Rico * 00936-3845
Tel. 787-758-2250 * Fax. 787-758-7639

ESTAMPILLA DIGITAL ESPECIAL (EDE)

Ing. Edgardo Hernandez Alvarado, PE



Práctica de: Ingeniería
Licencia: 13930 RPA
Renglón: Servicio Profesional
Descripción del Trabajo: Investigaciones
Fecha de Emisión: 2020-01-20
Monto Emitido: \$5
Número de Serie: 9800-3320-8866-9027
Número de Caso: 11403
Proyecto / Unidad: Eugenio María de Hostos - Inspección Post Terremoto
Rol del Profesional: Evaluador

Certificación:

El profesional certifica con la emisión de la estampilla digital especial del Colegio de Ingenieros y Agrimensores de Puerto Rico el haber cumplido con las disposiciones de la Sección 11 de la Ley 319 del 15 de mayo de 1938, según enmendada.

La colocación del sello profesional constituye la cancelación de la estampilla digital especial

